

# Simultaneous imaging of the near- and far-field intensity distributions of the Ni-like Sn X-ray laser

F. Staub, M. Braud and J. E. Balmer Institute of Applied Physics Sidlerstrasse 5 3012 Bern, Switzerland

J. Nilsen and S. Bajt Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551

**October 27, 2003** 

Prepared for submission to Applied Physics B

#### Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

#### **Auspices Statement**

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

## Simultaneous imaging of the near- and far-field intensity distributions of the Ni-like Sn X-ray laser

F. Staub, M. Braud and J.E. Balmer
Institute of Applied Physics, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
e-mail: felix.staub@iap.unibe.ch

J. Nilsen and S. Bajt Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551

#### **ABSTRACT**

We report on 2D near-field imaging experiments of the 11.9-nm Sn X-ray laser that were performed with a set of novel Mo/Y multilayer mirrors having reflectivities of up to ~45% at normal and at 45° incidence. Second-moment analysis of the X-ray laser emission was used to determine values of the X-ray beam propagation factor M² for a range of irradiation parameters. The results reveal a reduction of M² with increasing prepulse amplitude. The spatial size of the output is a factor of ~2 smaller than previously measured for the 14.7-nm Pd X-ray laser, while the distance of the X-ray emission with respect to the target surface remains roughly the same.

PACS: 42.55.Vc

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first demonstration of substantial X-ray laser gain in neon-like selenium [1], the electron-collisional excitation scheme has proven to be the most successful method on the route towards high output power and saturated gain. Saturated operation of soft-X-ray lasers is important because it assures the maximum stimulated-emission power extraction from a given volume of excited plasma. Clear evidence of saturation has been reported in the last few years by a number of authors for the  $4d \rightarrow 4p$ , J = 0-1 transition in nickel-like elements at wavelengths between 14.7 and 7.3 nm [2-4]. The efficiency with which saturation is achieved in the Ni-like lasers has recently been improved by exploiting the prepulse and multiple-pulse techniques [5-7] and, on the other hand, by reducing the pump pulse duration to the picosecond range, combined with travelling-wave irradiation [8-10]. Reports on the properties of these lasers have included measurements

of the output energy, pulse duration, near- and far-field intensity distributions, and divergence [11]. The recent development of novel Mo/Y multilayer X-ray mirrors [12] has opened up a new wavelength range from 7 to 12 nm for soft-X-ray imaging experiments not accessible so far. The Mo/Y mirrors allow one to investigate the near-field intensity distributions as well as to perform other imaging experiments of X-ray lasers - and other X-ray sources - below the wavelength of the Si absorption edge around 12.5 nm. Although, in principle, mirrors could be built for the 11–12-nm range using Mo/Be multilayers [13], such mirrors are rarely used because of the toxicity of Be.

In previous experiments, we have demonstrated saturated gain on the  $4d \rightarrow 4p$ , J = 0-1 transition in Ni-like Pd, Ag, and Sn at 14.7, 13.9, and 11.9 nm, respectively, with a pump irradiation scheme utilizing a 0.5% prepulse that irradiated flat slab targets 5-6 ns before the main pulse. Both the prepulse and the main pulse had a duration of 100 ps. In this work we report on a series of simultaneous near-field and far-field imaging experiments for the Sn 11.9-nm X-ray-laser using four different prepulse schemes: a 0.5% prepulse at -6 ns, a 2.8% prepulse at -2 ns, a 8% prepulse at -1 ns, and a 16% prepulse at -0.8 ns, with respect to the main pulse at 0 ns. The delay settings chosen correspond to the maxima of the x-ray laser intensity for the specific prepulse amplitudes.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiments were performed using the 1054-nm Nd:glass laser system at the Institute for Applied Physics (IAP) of the University of Berne. The laser, having a final amplifier of 90-mm diameter, is capable of delivering up to 40 J at a pulse duration of 100 ps (FWHM). The output beam is focused to give a line focus of 2.5-cm length and approximately 100-µm width using a combination of a 500-mm focal length aplanatic doublet and a –1700-mm focal length cylindrical lens. Targets were 25-mm wide slabs having a diamond-machined surface finish. The ASE X-radiation is emitted from either end of the target along the line focus.

In a first series of experiments, the near-field and far-field intensity distributions were recorded simultaneously using the diagnostics setup shown in Fig. 1(a). It consists of a concave, multilayer, normal-incidence Mo/Y X-ray mirror that images the output aperture at one end of the Sn 11.9-nm laser onto the P43 phosphor/CCD camera recording system via a flat normal-incidence Mo/Y mirror. The mirrors are made of up to 60 alternating layer pairs of Mo and Y yielding reflectivities in excess of 40%. The layers have a period of 6.15 nm for normal incidence and 8.97 nm for the 45° mirror, respectively. A detailed description of the multilayers can be found in [12]. The concave

mirror has a radius of curvature of 24 cm and is positioned such as to image the output plane of the Sn laser onto the phosphor screen with a magnification of 12. The overall spatial resolution of this diagnostics was measured to be  $\sim$ 2.5  $\mu$ m at the output plane of the Sn laser, limited by the phosphor and the CCD imaging optics. This value is consistent with the smallest structures that can be resolved in the near-field images. The targets had a 25- $\mu$ m diameter steel wire placed 145  $\mu$ m in front of the target surface at this end of the target which served as an absolute spatial fiducial in the measurements. The laser emission from the other end of the target was used to record the far-field intensity distribution at a distance of 2.05 m from the target via a 45° angle-of-incidence Mo/Y turning mirror. The recording system was a similar P43 phosphor/CCD camera combination. The spatial resolution in this case was given by the 23- $\mu$ m square pixel size of the CCD camera. In both cases, the P43 phosphors were coated with  $\sim$ 120 nm of zirconium to discriminate against background radiation.

The diagnostics setup used for the double-near-field experiments is shown in Fig. 1(b). It consists of two concave, multilayer, normal-incidence Mo/Y X-ray mirrors that image the output apertures at both ends of the Sn 11.9-nm laser onto the P43 phosphor/CCD camera recording system via a flat normal-incidence and a 45° angle-of-incidence Mo/Y mirror. The concave mirrors have radii of curvature of 50 cm and 24 cm respectively and are positioned such as to image the output planes of the Sn laser onto the phosphor screen with a magnification of 10 and 17, respectively. The targets had 25-µm diameter steel wires placed 100 µm in front of the target surface at both ends as absolute spatial fiducials in the measurements.

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

#### A. Simultaneous near-field and far-field images

Figure 2 shows typical images of the Sn J = 0-1 laser emission at 11.9 nm at the output aperture of the target (left) and the simultaneously recorded corresponding far field (right) at a drive irradiance of 10 TW/cm<sup>2</sup> for different prepulse configurations. The plasma blow-off direction is the horizontal axis in the left figure with zero corresponding to the original target surface. The shadow of the fiducial wire is indicated by two lines at the right of the emission zone. The small-scale structures observed in the near-field images are most likely caused by inhomogeneities in the density profile and/or by the different gain lengths experienced by the individual rays. The far-field images show modulations parallel to the target surface, visualized by the contour lines. The origin of these modulations is not clear to date, but may be attributed to coherent rays, spatially overlapping in the

gain region, but diverging by 10 to 20 µrad, forming an interference pattern in the far field. The pattern was reproducible for most of the shots for unchanged prepulse conditions, with the strongest modulations observed with the 0.5% prepulse. With increasing prepulse amplitude the modulations of the far-field show a tendency to get weaker and more irregular. The angular frequency and the modulation depth are considerably lower as compared to the interference fringes observed in the far-field beam patterns of [14] and [15].

A series of measurements was performed for prepulse amplitudes of 0.5, 2.8, 8, and 16%. We performed a second-moment analysis of the images, in order to obtain the near-field radii  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  perpendicular and parallel to the target surface, respectively, as well as the divergence semi-angles  $\Delta \theta_x$  and  $\Delta \theta_y$  of the far-field. The n-th moments of a beam for the x and  $\theta_x$  coordinates are defined as follows [16]:

$$\left\langle x^{n}\right\rangle = \frac{\iint x^{n} I_{s}(x, y) dx dy}{\iint I_{s}(x, y) dx dy} \qquad \left\langle \theta_{x}^{n}\right\rangle = \frac{\iint \theta_{x}^{n} I_{a}(\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}) d\theta_{x} d\theta_{y}}{\iint I_{a}(\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}) d\theta_{x} d\theta_{y}}$$

where  $I_s(x,y)$  and  $I_a(\theta_x,\theta_y)$  are the near-field and the far-field intensity distributions, respectively. The beam radii and divergence semi-angles are then given by

$$\Delta x = 2\sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x^1 \rangle^2} \qquad \Delta \theta_x = 2\sqrt{\langle \theta_x^2 \rangle - \langle \theta_x^1 \rangle^2} .$$

The calculation for the y and  $\theta_y$  coordinates is analogue.

With the aid of the fiducial wire, the position of the emitting zone was measured. Figure 3 shows a plot of the distance of the emission zone from the original target surface as a function of the prepulse amplitude, together with the results of the Ni-like Pd X-ray laser presented in [17]. As can be seen, the curve shows a flat maximum at  $\sim$ 100  $\mu$ m from the target for a prepulse amplitude in the range of 3%. For weak prepulses the peak intensity of the Pd-laser emission occurs  $\sim$ 10  $\mu$ m closer to the target surface than for Sn.

Figure 4 shows a plot of the geometrical mean radius of the emission zone as a function of the prepulse amplitude. The emission zone for the Pd laser is seen to be a factor of  $\sim 1.5$  to 2 larger than for Sn. The size of the Sn emission decreases linearly from 29 x 38  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> to 24 x 26  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> with increasing prepulse amplitude, whereas the size of the Pd emission shows a rather nonlinear behaviour. Stepping from the 0.5% to the 2.8% prepulse, the size of the Pd laser emission decreases more significantly than for Sn.

The decrease of the mean emission size is mainly due to a decrease of the extent in y-direction (parallel to the target surface), which reduces the ellipticity  $\Delta y/\Delta x$  of the near field from 1.3 for the 0.5% prepulse to 1.2 for the 16% prepulse. This behavior can also be seen in the left column of Fig. 2. The decrease of  $\Delta y$  with increasing prepulse amplitude may be explained by the short prepulse delay of only 0.8 ns for the 16% prepulse, leaving the plasma less time to expand perpendicularly to the main plasma blow-off direction as compared to the delay of 6 ns for the 0.5% prepulse.

In Fig. 5 the geometrical mean of the divergence semi-angle is plotted as a function of prepulse amplitude. The semi-angles perpendicular and parallel to the target surface are 1.4 x 1.8 mrad<sup>2</sup> for the 0.5% prepulse, decreasing to 1.1 x 1.5 mrad<sup>2</sup> for the 16% prepulse. Compared to Sn, the divergence of the Pd laser is about 1.8 times the value for the 0.5% prepulse given above, converging to nearly identical values for the strongest prepulse. As already noticed for the near-field beam radius, in contrast to the Sn laser, the divergence angle of the Pd-laser emission shows a significant decrease stepping from 0.5% to 2.8% prepulse amplitude (Fig. 5), which is mainly caused by the decrease in y-direction.

Assuming the X-ray laser emission characteristics to be similar at both ends of the target, the second-moment analysis of the intensity distributions was used to determine the X-ray laser beam propagation factors,  $M_x^2$  and  $M_y^2$  (Fig. 6), in the directions perpendicular and parallel to the target surface, respectively, for the four prepulse configurations investigated. The beam propagation factors are given by [16]:

$$M_x^2 = \frac{\pi \Delta x \Delta \theta_x}{\lambda} \qquad M_y^2 = \frac{\pi \Delta y \Delta \theta_y}{\lambda} \qquad M^4 = M_x^2 \cdot M_y^2$$

where  $\lambda$  is the laser wavelength.

The values of  $M^2$  are in the range of 10 in x-direction and around 20 in y-direction. For both axes the  $M^2$  shows a slight decrease with increasing prepulse amplitude, although the data is widely spread, especially in the y-direction. The ratio  $M_y^2/M_x^2$  decreases from 1.9 for a 0.5% prepulse to 1.6 for 16% prepulse, in coincidence with the observation that the emission region gets more compact and circular with increasing prepulse (cf. Fig. 2). The results of the 8% prepulse are somewhat unique, as they show an above-average ratio  $M_y^2/M_x^2$  with large extents parallel, but small dimensions perpendicular to the target surface. However, in the product  $M^4 = M_x^2 \cdot M_y^2$  shown in Fig. 7, this irregularity is balanced. Moreover, in Fig. 6 the complete set of data analyzed is plot-

ted, while in Fig. 4, 5 and 7 the results are restricted to the most intense shots of each prepulse scheme for the sake of clarity. The value of  $M^4$  is a measure of the maximum number of modes in the lasing region. It decreases from  $M^4 \approx 200$  for the weakest prepulse down to  $M^4 \approx 70$  for the strongest prepulse. From Fig. 4 and 5 it can be seen that the decrease of  $M^4$  is due to a reduction in both the size of the emitting zone and the divergence of the laser beam. This is consistent with the findings of [17] for the 14.7 nm Pd X-ray laser.

Figure 8 shows the mean, time integrated laser intensity obtained from integration over the far-field beam area. The intensity drops significantly for prepulses stronger than 0.5%, in contrast to the Pd X-ray laser, where the intensity is nearly constant for all prepulse configurations used [17]. This could be attributed to the higher electron temperature needed to generate the Ni-like Sn plasma as compared to the lower-Z Ni-like Pd plasma. As the experiments were performed with identical sets of parameters of the pump laser for both elements, the electron temperature would drop more easily below the required level of ionization for Sn than for Pd on changing irradiation parameters.

#### B. Double near-field measurements

In order to verify that the laser emission characteristics are similar on both ends of the target, the near fields at both ends were recorded simultaneously (setup in Fig. 1(b)). The prepulse was fixed at 0.5%, preceding the main pulse by 6 ns. We found that for most of the shots both near fields are similar in size and orientation while a few rather asymmetric images exhibit more differing intensity distributions.

The data of the double-near-field images was investigated and compared for a set of different parameters. Figure 9 shows the correlation of the peak intensities of the two near fields. The values are corrected for the loss caused by the additional reflection of beam 1 at M3 (see Fig. 1(b)) and the different magnification of the two imaging paths. The linear regression yields a slope of 1.12 and a correlation-coefficient of R = 0.96. The slope is very close to unity, especially in view of the uncertainty of the reflectivity of the additional mirror M3 in beam 1. A reflectivity of 42% was taken from data given in [12]. The intensity of beam 1 is found to vary by 32% (standard deviation) with respect to beam 2 for the same shot, while the intensities of different shots may vary up to a factor of ~10. The residual fluctuations of the intensities of both emitting apertures may be explained by small variations of the intensity distribution of the pump laser along the line focus and irregularities of the target surface.

While the intensities of both emission zones are very well correlated, the correlation of the radii of simultaneously recorded near-field images is poor, with correlation coefficients of 0.1 - 0.3. The mean ratios of the near-field radii r(beam 1)/r(beam 2) reveal values differing just a few percent from unity in x- and y-direction, while the standard deviation yields ~20%. The ratios of the near-field beam radii near unity indicate that there is no significant difference in the lateral extent of the two near fields. The poor correlation indicates that the fluctuations of the spatial extents of the two emitting apertures with respect to each other are of the same order as the shot-to-shot fluctuations of one single emission zone. Figure 10 shows two pairs of simultaneously recorded near-field images. The intensity distributions are of rather similar shape and extent (Fig. 10(a)). However, for some shots we observed larger differences in the emission (Fig. 10(b)).

On average, beam 1 was found to be emitted  $\sim 10~\mu m$  closer to the target surface than beam 2. This effect might be due to an intensity gradient of the prepulse along the line focus, causing the plasma to expand faster at one end of the target than on the other side. However, the absolute position of the emission has no influence on the moments and does not change the values of the beam propagation factor  $M^2$ .

Besides the unequal target distance of the emission zones we observed no systematical difference between the two near fields. Therefore we may conclude that we introduce no systematical errors using simultaneous near-field and far-field imaging for M<sup>2</sup> determination.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have reported on a series of simultaneous near-field and far-field imaging experiments for the Ni-like 11.9-nm Sn X-ray laser using novel Mo/Y multilayer mirrors. The measurements were conducted with the aim to characterize the two-dimensional source intensity distribution and its position relative to the target surface. The images were analyzed in terms of the beam propagation factor, M<sup>2</sup>, yielding values in the order of 10 to 20, and the effect of different prepulse amplitudes was investigated. The distance of the emission zone from the target was found to be of order 60-100 µm, with the largest values obtained for a few-percent prepulse amplitude. Simultaneous imaging of the two emitting apertures allowed to determine a value of M<sup>2</sup> for every single shot.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank H.P. Weber for fruitful discussions, B. Locher for expert technical assistance, and W. Lüscher for target preparation. This work was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The work of the LLNL authors was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] D. L. Matthews et al., "Demonstration of a Soft X-Ray Amplifier", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 110-113 (1985).
- [2] J. Zhang et al., "Demonstration of Saturation in a Ni-like Ag X-Ray Laser at 14 nm", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3856-3859 (1997).
- [3] J. Zhang et al., "A saturated x-ray laser beam at 7 nanometers", *Science* **276**, 1097-1100 (1997).
- [4] R. Tommasini, F. Loewenthal, and J. E. Balmer, "Saturation in a Ni-like Pd soft-x-ray laser at 14.7 nm", *Phys. Rev. A* **59**, 1577-1581 (1999).
- [5] J. Nilsen, B. J. MacGowan, L. B. Da Silva, and J. C. Moreno, "Prepulse technique for producing low-Z Ne-like x-ray lasers", *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 4682-4685(1993).
- [6] H. Daido et al., "Efficient Soft X-Ray Lasing at 6-8 nm with Nickel-like Lanthanide Ions", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1074-1077 (1995).
- [7] J. E. Balmer, R. Tommasini, and F. Loewenthal, "Saturation in neon- and nickel-like collisional soft-x-ray lasers at low pump energy", *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **5,** 1435-1440 (1999).
- [8] J. Dunn et al., "Gain saturation regime for laser-driven tabletop, transient Ni-like ion X-ray lasers", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 4834-4837 (2000).
- [9] R. E. King et al., "Saturated x-ray lasers at 196 and 73 Å pumped by a picosecond traveling-wave excitation", *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 053810 (2001)
- [10] A. Klisnick et al. "Demonstration of a 2-ps transient x-ray laser", *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 033810 (2002)
- [11] J. Nilsen, J. Zhang, and A. G. MacPhee, "Near-field spatial imaging of a Ni-like Ag 140-Δ x-ray laser", *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 3161-3165 (1997).
- [12] J. Nilsen, S. Bajt, H.N. Chapman, F. Staub, and J. E. Balmer, "Mo:Y multilayer mirror technology utilized to image the near-field output of a Ni-like Sn laser at 11.9 nm", *Opt. Lett.* <u>28</u>, 2249 (2003)
- [13] J. F. Seely, C. Montcalm, S. Baker, and S. Bajt, *Appl. Opt.* 40, 5565 (2001).
- [14] J. Dunn et al. *Proc. SPIE* vol. 4505, 62 (2001)
- [15] A. Klisnick et al. *Proc. SPIE* vol. 4505, 75 (2001)
- [16] A. E. Siegman, "New developments in laser resonators", SPIE vol. 1224 (1990)
- [17] Ch. Siegel, M. Braud, and J. E. Balmer, "Near-field spatial imaging of the Ni-like palladium soft x-ray laser", *Opt. Commun.* **210**, 305-312 (2002).

#### Figure captions

- **FIG. 1(a):** Experimental setup for near- and far-field imaging. The pump beam irradiates a 2.5-cm long flat Sn target. The output aperture of the 11.9-nm nickel-like tin laser is imaged onto the P43 phosphor/CCD camera detection system with a magnification of 12. The beam propagating to the left is used for the far-field diagnostics.
- **FIG. 1(b):** Experimental setup for double-near-field imaging. The pump beam irradiates a 2.5-cm long flat Sn target. The output apertures of the 11.9-nm nickel-like tin laser are imaged onto the P43 phosphor/CCD camera detection system with a magnification of 10 and 17, respectively.
- **FIG. 2:** Near-field images of the 11.9-nm Sn laser emission (left) and simultaneously recorded far-fields with a 0.5% prepulse and a 6-ns delay (a), 2.8% prepulse and 2-ns delay (b), and 16% prepulse and 0.8-ns delay (c). The plasma blow-off direction is the horizontal axis. The line at x = 0 in the left figures corresponds to the original target surface. The shadow of the fiducial wire is indicated by two lines at the right of the emission. The images are overlaid with linearly scaled contour plots.
- **FIG. 3:** Distance of the 11.9-nm Sn X-ray laser emission zone from the target as a function of the prepulse amplitude. Results for the 14.7-nm Pd X-ray laser from [17] are included for comparison.
- **FIG. 4:** Mean radius of the near field intensity distribution based on second moment analysis as a function of the prepulse amplitude. Results for the Pd X-ray laser are included for comparison.
- **FIG. 5:** Mean divergence semi-angle obtained from the far-field intensity distributions based on second moment analysis as a function of prepulse amplitude. Results for the Pd X-ray laser are included for comparison.
- **FIG. 6:** Beam propagation factors  $M_x^2$  (diamonds) and  $M_y^2$  (open squares) as a function of prepulse amplitude.
- **FIG. 7:** Sn X-ray laser beam propagation factor  $M^4 = M_x^2 \cdot M_y^2$  as a function of prepulse amplitude.
- FIG. 8: Sn X-ray laser intensity as a function of prepulse amplitude.
- **FIG. 9:** Peak laser intensities of the two emitting apertures. The linear regression yields a slope of 1.12 and a correlation-coefficient of 0.96. The prepulse was fixed at 0.5%, preceding the main pulse by 6 ns.
- **FIG. 10**: Simultaneously recorded near-field images using the setup in Fig. 1(b). The intensity distributions are rather similar in shape and size (a). In the left image, the fiducial wire cutting off the emission at ~100 μm can also be observed. For some shots we observed larger differences in the emission (b).

### Figures




Figure 1 (wide)




Figure 2 (centered)




Figure 3 (narrow)




Figure 4 (narrow)




Figure 5 (narrow)




Figure 6 (narrow)




Figure 7 (narrow)




Figure 8 (narrow)




Figure 9 (narrow)




Figure 10 (narrow)